


Re: EPA comments on draft SMS letter 
Dan Opalski to: Angela Chung

02/06/2013 09:08 PM

I agree we should go ahead. Jim was a tentative, anyway. Noon to one also should be fine.

It remains curious to me that Melissa isn't feeling more ownership here, because her shop is likely still to be where the pressure will land. I probably should reconnect with Kelly.

The conversation with Andrew and Sharon was mostly pretty broad and far-ranging, but there are a few particulars we should spend some time on.

Angela Chung

Hi Dan, FYI - Dave left me a voicemail saying...

02/06/2013 05:36 PM MST

From: Angela Chung
To: Dan Opalski
Cc:
Date: 02/06/2013 05:36 PM MST
Subject: Fw: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

Hi Dan,

FYI - Dave left me a voicemail saying that Jim has the flu so they're wondering if we should proceed with the call. Also, Melissa wants to know if we can meet from Noon - 1 pm instead. I'm fine with whatever you decide re the call. I still think we should proceed even if Jim is out sick. Thanks.

Angela Chung
Water Quality Standards Unit Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900, OWW 131
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-553-6511
----- Forwarded by Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US on 02/06/2013 04:33 PM -----

From: "Bradley, Dave (ECY)" <dbra461@ECY.WA.GOV>
To: "Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)" <MGIL461@ECY.WA.GOV>, Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Dan Opalski/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "Sussewind, Kelly (ECY)" <KSUS461@ECY.WA.GOV>, "Pendowski, Jim (ECY)" <jpen461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Date: 02/06/2013 04:24 PM
Subject: RE: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

All -

Attached is a draft question and answer sheet on the SMS rule submittal. I tried to capture the points that Angela, Melissa and I discussed last week.

I will call Angela tomorrow morning to check on the meeting time/logistics.

Dave

From: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)

*attachment
already released*

Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2013 3:55 PM
To: Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov
Cc: Opalski.Dan@epamail.epa.gov; Bradley, Dave (ECY); Susewind, Kelly (ECY)
Subject: RE: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

If we only need 1 hour ..any chance we could meet latter? I just got an assignment for 11:30-12:00

From: Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 3:38 PM
To: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)
Cc: Opalski.Dan@epamail.epa.gov; Bradley, Dave (ECY); Susewind, Kelly (ECY)
Subject: RE: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

Your comment is consistent with what you, Dave, and I discussed last week so I'm not surprised. As we also discussed, I'm okay with removing that level of detail from the letter but would like to ensure Ecology includes this issue in the talking points.

And I forgot to mention that Dan and I are planning on this being a conference call. I don't think we need all that time (probably 1 hour should suffice) but will ask Dan to confirm. Thanks.

Angela Chung
Water Quality Standards Unit Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900, OWW 131
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-553-6511

"Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)" —02/05/2013 03:19:25 PM—All—hey this is something I cannot commit to—not during the development of Human Health criteria.

From: "Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)" <MGIL461@ECY.WA.GOV>
To: Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "Bradley, Dave (ECY)" <dbra461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: Dan Opalski/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, "Susewind, Kelly (ECY)" <KSUS461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Date: 02/05/2013 03:19 PM
Subject: RE: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

All—hey this is something I cannot commit to—not during the development of Human Health criteria. No way-- no how-- no can do!

This was done under a state policy (Policy 1-11). Ecology will no longer use Policy 1-11, and will have a revised policy and listing process, that does not reference or make use of Part V of the SMS, completed before the effective date of the SMS rule revision.

Also do we need 2.5 hours for Thursday? Is that just to talk messages? Dave do you have a set of messages pulled together?—melissa

From: Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 1:37 PM
To: Bradley, Dave (ECY)
Cc: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY); opalski.dan@epa.gov
Subject: EPA comments on draft SMS letter

Hi Dave and Melissa,

Attached are EPA's comments on the longer version of the letter. As I already relayed to Dave, we prefer the longer version but recognize that you all are continuing to discuss internally. I'm cc'ing Dan so he is aware of our latest comments. Let me know if you have any questions and I look forward to seeing the talking points with the goal of getting you EPA feedback before our Thursday call. Thanks.

(See attached file: *WA SMS-draft EPA comments.docx*)

*attachments already
released*

Angela Chung
Water Quality Standards Unit Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900, OWW 131
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-553-6511

From: "Bradley, Dave (ECY)" <dbra461@ECY.WA.GOV>
To: Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)" <MGIL461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Date: 01/31/2013 05:00 PM
Subject: RE: SMS Discussion and EPA Letter

Angela –

Here is the longer version.

Dave Bradley
Toxics Cleanup Program
360-407-6907

From: Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Chung.Angela@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, January 31, 2013 4:49 PM
To: Bradley, Dave (ECY)
Cc: Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)
Subject: Re: SMS Discussion and EPA Letter

Hi Dave,

Per my voicemail, please send me a copy of the longer version. Thanks for coordinating!

Angela Chung
Water Quality Standards Unit Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Ave, Suite 900, OWW 131
Seattle, WA 98101
Phone: 206-553-6511

"Bradley, Dave (ECY)" —01/31/2013 01:24:05 PM—Melissa and Angela - Attached is a draft SMS transmittal letter for our discussion this afternoon.

From: "Bradley, Dave (ECY)" <dbra461@ECY.WA.GOV>
To: "Gildersleeve, Melissa (ECY)" <MGIL461@ECY.WA.GOV>, Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 01/31/2013 01:24 PM
Subject: SMS Discussion and EPA Letter

Melissa and Angela -

Attached is a draft SMS transmittal letter for our discussion this afternoon.

It is a shorter version of a draft letter that Chance Asher and Ivy Anderson (Office of the Attorney General) prepared based on conversations with Matt Z. and the EPA attorneys.

Based on last week's conversation, it seemed like EPA was comfortable with less detail. Consequently, I shortened the draft letter realizing that there is a good chance that further details will ultimately be needed.

There are several questions related to the details I would like to discuss before we prepare a revised letter. For example, how much detail on future plans for revising Parts III and IV would be helpful to include in the letter and/or separate talking points. I will try to compile those questions before 3 o'clock.

Dave Bradley
Toxics Cleanup Program
360-407-6907

[attachment "draft EPA letter.docx" deleted by Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US] [attachment "draft EPA letter.docx" deleted by Angela Chung/R10/USEPA/US]
[attachment "Possible SMS rule submittal questions_February 6 Version.docx" deleted by Dan Opalski/R10/USEPA/US]